

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,846	08/26/2003	Jack Forbes	5589.00003	7007
29747 7590 01/31/2007 GREENBERG TRAURIG EXAMINER				INER
3773 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY			KARKHANIS, AASHISH	
SUITE 500 NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89169			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	•		3714	
			1	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

MT

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/649,846	FORBES, JACK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aashish Karkhanis	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 November 2006</u> .					
·— ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Patent 6,342,007 B1) in view of Yoseloff (U.S. Patent 6,227,969 B1).

Regarding Claims 1, 10 and 15, Wood discloses a method and gaming machine including a processor in communication with a random number generator and display (col. 3, lins. 6-11), one or more player interfaces (fig. 1) for playing a poker based wagering game including accepting an initial wager, said player receiving five or more random cards from one or more decks of cards (col. 1, lins. 19-27; col. 3, lins. 11-12; where an ante wager before cards are dealt is an inherent feature of poker games, or where an initial wager before playing a reel game is well known and established in the art of reel games, and where poker cards may be dealt from one or more decks as is well known and established in the art), said player selecting to hold one or more of the five or more random cards (col. 3, lins. 12-15), replacing one or more of the non-hold cards with cards needed for partial completion of the royal flush such that the player has a higher probability, of successfully drawing the royal flush after placing the wager than before placing the second wager, randomly replacing, from remaining cards in the one or more decks of cards, each of the player's cards not common to the royal flush (col. 3, lins. 32-43; where if four cards are common to a flush, which may also be common to a royal flush, then a

Art Unit: 3714

fifth card of the same suit is drawn, guaranteeing a flush and increasing the chance of completing a royal flush), and awarding said player a payout if a player's final poker hand is the royal flush (col. 3, lins. 43 - 45). Wood does not disclose an additional wager placed before the swapping of cards or a probability of royal flush less than 100 percent when non-Royal flush cards are swapped. However, Yoseloff teaches a poker reel game where if said one or more hold cards is common to a royal flush, providing the player an opportunity to place a wager (fig. 2, elem. 32; where a player may place a wager while holding any hand, including when any cards are common to a royal flush as is well known and established in the art of poker games) in order to create a poker reel game that includes poker style betting rounds in order to more closely simulate a traditional game of poker, but does not teach a non-100 percent probability for swapping cards and achieving a royal flush. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the game of Wood where four cards must be royal flush cards to begin a card swap round with a game where less than four cards must be royal flush cards to begin a card swap round in order to provide more opportunities for a royal flush which would increase excitement for a player. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the game of Wood where four cards must be royal flush cards with a game where less that four cards must be royal flush cards, which would create a probability for achieving a royal flush of less than 100 percent, and further with the analogous reel game with poker features where multiple betting rounds are allowed of Yoseloff in order to provide more opportunities for a royal flush which would increase excitement for a player and rounds in order to more closely simulate a traditional game of poker.

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding Claims 2 and 5, Wood discloses a method wherein if the player places the wager, the non-hold cards are replaced in such a number that the player holds a poker hand having four of the five cards necessary to complete the royal flush (col. 3, lins. 32 - 43; where if four cards are common to a flush, which may also be common to a royal flush, then a fifth card of the same suit is drawn, guaranteeing a flush and increasing the chance of completing a royal flush), wherein pre-established odds are related to the number of player selected hold cards common to the royal flush (col. 3, lins. 43 - 45; where a player is given an award based on a pre-established pay table which inherently has both payout values and odds related to those payout values).

Regarding Claims 3-4, 6, 8, 11-12 and 16-17, Wood discloses a method and a processor wherein if the player places the wager and the player's final hand is not a royal flush, the player continues to be eligible for an award based on the poker ranking of the player's final hand (col. 5, lins. 5-20; where an award table based on card combinations is sued for giving awards to a player for winning combinations), wherein the player receives an award if the poker ranking of the player's final hand is a minimum pre-established poker ranking less than the royal flush of a pair of jacks or better (col. 5, lin. 20; where a Pair of Jacks is the minimum value hand which is eligible for an award), wherein the player initially receives five or seven random cards (col. 3, lins. 10-12).

Regarding Claims 9 and 14, Woo discloses a method wherein the method is facilitated by an electronic gaming machine (col. 3, lins. 45 - 52).

Regarding Claims 7, 13 and 18, Wood discloses a method wherein pre-established odds are established (col. 5, lins. 5 - 20), but does not discloses a range from 40 to 1 to 50 to 1 on the

Art Unit: 3714

wager. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the electronic poker flush game with a specific pay table of Wood with the pay table as claimed by the applicant in order to change the profitability of a machine for a casino or the entertainment value of a machine for a player.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 5,033,744: Royal Flush instant win game.
- U.S. Patent 5,332,219: Seven Card Royal Flush game.
- U.S. Patent 6,062,980: Poker reel game.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3714

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aashish Karkhanis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2774. The examiner can normally be reached on 0800-1630 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 3714

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARK

CORBETT B. COBURN PRIMARY EXAMINER